Bemidji State University has received specialized accreditation for its business programs through the International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education (IACBE), located in Olathe, Kansas. The business programs in the following degrees are accredited by the IACBE:

- Bachelor of Science in Accounting.
- Bachelor of Science in Business Administration with emphases in Finance, Generalist, Management, Marketing, Entrepreneurship/Small Business Management, and International Business.

Report of Student Learning and Achievement and Operational Effectiveness

For Academic Year: 2009-2010

Student Learning Information for (Program 1)			
Mission of the			
Accounting and			
Business Administration:			
Educate students through a learning-centered environment.			
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for Business Administration :			
1. Graduates will attain higher learning in the field of accounting/busines	is.		
2. Graduates will demonstrate information literacy.			
3. Graduates will demonstrate ability to use practical business tools.			
4. Graduates will demonstrate good communication skills and ability to v	work effectively as part of a team.		
5. Graduates will demonstrate the ability to analyze complex business sit	cuations in a realistic business environment.		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning			
1. End of Program Comprehensive examination (MFAT) (Pre-Post Exams to be implemented in the future)	 At least 70% of each year's graduates will score higher on the post-test of MFAT-B than on their pre-test. (SLO 1) 		

		٦ A	rage student performance will be at 50th percentile as a base
			rage student performance will be at 50th percentile or above
		for	peer institutions. (SLO-1 & SLO-5)
2.	Senior Capstone Course Rubrics	1. At	least 90% of the graduates will demonstrate higher than the
	(final project, presentations skills, & team work-leadership)	beg	inning level of performance on the program level student
	(lear	ning outcomes assessment rubric. (SLO-1)
		2. At l	east 90% of the graduates will demonstrate higher than the
			inning level of performance on the information literacy
		sect	tion of the assessment rubric. (SLO-2)
		3. At l	east 90% of the graduates will demonstrate higher than the
		beg	inning level of performance on the use of practical business
		too	ls section of the assessment rubric. (SLO-3)
		4. At l	east 90% of the graduates will demonstrate higher than the
		beg	inning level of performance on the communication skills and
		effe	ective team work section of the assessment rubric. (SLO-4)
		5. At l	east 90% of the graduates will demonstrate higher than the
		beg	inning level of performance on the ability to analyze complex
		bus	iness situations in a realistic business environment section of
		the	assessment rubric. (SLO-5)
	sessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— direct Measures of Student Learning	Performanc	e Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:
1.	Graduate Placement Survey	1. At	least 80 % of each year's graduates will be employed in
		rela	ted field, or in graduate school, within 2 years (SLO-1)

2. Graduating Senior Survey for Business Majors	 At least 70% of each year's graduate learning in the functional areas of bu At least 70% of each year's graduate information literate. (SLO-2) At least 70% of each year's graduate to use practical business tools. (SLO-4. At least 70% of each year's graduate communicate effectively and work e (SLO-4) At least 70% of each year's graduate analyze complex business situations. At least 60% of each year's graduate development in writing, math, and re needed. (Program Objective P3-Goal Development) At least 50% of each year's graduate participated in one or more sponsore (Program Objective P4-Goal for Personal Development are sponsored) At least 60% of each year's graduate 	isiness. (SLO-1 s will indicate t 3) s will indicate t 3) s will indicate a ffectively as pa s will indicate a (SLO-5) s will indicate t eading was sup for Basic Skills s will indicate t ed activities or onal Developm s will indicate t) hat they are hey are able ability to rt of a team. ability to heir skill ported if hey organizations. ent) hey have
Summary of Recults from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ling:	Performance	Target Was
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ing.	Met	Not Met
 End of Program Comprehensive examination (MFAT) (Pre-Post Exams to be implemented in the future): #1-At least 70% of each year's graduates will score higher on the post- As stated last year, due to the university's curriculum process, we will exam entrance requirement is approved-target date Fall 2011). Also, a 	not be able to attain this goal until our pre-		х

Su	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Met	Target Was Not Met
	Please see <u>Appendix 5</u> for Rubric Results.		
	The average score was 4.1 on a 0-5 scale where a score of 1.1 or higher was targeted.		
	At least 90% of the graduates demonstrated higher than the beginning level of performance on all sections of the program level student learning outcomes assessment rubric.	Х	
	All 5 Performance Targets were met.		
2.	(final project, presentations skills, & team work-leadership)		
	Our goal of 50% of our students meeting 50% or higher, was attained by 42% of students in the fall and 43% of the students in the spring. Please see <u>Appendix 4</u> for MFAT Results.		
	The results demonstrate that the students in the departments met the specified goals in 4 of the 9 indicators (Accounting, Quantitative Business Analysis, Finance and Information Systems in the Fall of 2009 and 2 of the 9 indicators (Accounting and Legal and Social Environment) in the Spring of 2010. However, in the other indicators that were not successfully met, the ratings were in the 20% to 45% rank.		х
	 would help with the expense. Currently, the online students are taking a local test instead of the MFAT. The results of those tests are available upon request. Dr. Dean Frost, a Business Administration faculty member, went to the regional IACBE meeting during the Fall of 2010, and learned strategies for having the online students take the MFAT. This will be reviewed by the Accounting and Business Departments in the near future. #2- Average student performances will be at 50th percentile or above for peer institutions. (SLO-1 & SLO-5) 		
	It was also mentioned last year that the expense of the MFAT may be a factor when using it as the pre and post exam. The Accounting and Business Departments are going to discuss the possibility of differential tuition which		
	any student who began their career in an academic year before this curriculum requirement was instituted will have a choice to follow the requirements in the catalog when he/she began his/her degree or not. The Accounting and Business Departments have formed a committee to review the process and have been working with BSU's Registrar, Dave Carlson, to determine how the implementation of the process will work most efficiently. The Accounting and Business Departments voted in the Fall of 2010 that the MFAT be used both for a pre and post test.		

1.	Graduate Placement Survey:		
	Target: At least 80 % of each year's graduates will be employed in a related field, or in graduate school, within 2 years (SLO-1)		
	Please see Appendix 6 for the summary information of the status of graduates from 1998 to 2008.		
	In 2008, the Accounting Department had 78% and the Business Department had 76% of their graduates employed in a related field or in graduate school. However, the most recent 11 year average shows the Accounting		х
	Department had 88.5% and the Business Department had 87.2% of their graduates employed in a related field or in graduate school.		
	This information will always be a year behind the annual report. So next year's annual report will include 2009 graduates.		
2.	Graduating Senior Survey for Business Majors		
	3 of 5 SLO Part-2 Performance Targets were met.		
	The following 3 SLO Performance targets were met:		
	1. At least 70% of each year's graduates will indicate that they are information literate. (SLO-2)		
	4. At least 70% of each year's graduates will indicate ability to communicate effectively and work effectively as part of a team. (SLO-4)	ххх	
	5. At least 70% of each year's graduates will indicate ability to analyze complex business situations. (SLO-5)		
	The following 2 SLO Performance targets were not met:		
	2. At least 70% of each year's graduates will indicate they attained learning in the functional areas of business. (SLO-1)		
	 All functional areas attained percentages of 70% or higher with the exception of the International/Global area. In this area only 53% of students felt they attained learning in this area. 		XX
	3. At least 70% of each year's graduates will indicate they are able to use practical business tools. (SLO-3)		
	• All functional areas attained percentages of 70% or higher with the exception of Access. In this		

area only 64% of the student felt they attained learning in this area.		
The following Program Basic Skills Development Goal for Part 3 was met. (PrO-1, P3)		
1. At least 60% of each year's graduates will indicate their skill development in writing, math, and reading was supported if needed. (Program Objective-Goal for Basic Skills Development)		
The following Program Personal Development Goals for Part 4 were partially met. (PrO-1, P4)		
 At least 50% of each year's graduates will indicate they participated in one or more sponsored activities or organizations. (Program Objective P4-Goal for Personal Development) 	Х	
 Goal Met: Number of students who indicated they participated more than "never" in one or more of the sponsored activities or organizations: 85.0% 		
 At least 60% of each year's graduates will indicate they have experienced personal growth and development. (Program Objective P4–Goal for Personal Development) Goal Met: We exceeded this goal on each measure. 	Х	
3. Meet institutional NSSEE engagement benchmarks on Enriching Educational Experiences.		
 Due to small sample size, statistical significance was tested at the College (combined departments) level only. We did not meet the goal on this measure. 	Х	x
Please see Appendix 7A for Accounting IACBE Assessment 2010 Results.		
Please see <u>Appendix 7B</u> for Business ICBE Assessment Results.		
Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:		

1. DM#1-Last year, the Department voted in favor of implementing a customized exam to replace the MFAT exam. This customized exam is targeted to be ready by Fall 2011. As noted above, starting with the 2010 academic year: The Accounting and Business Departments voted in the Fall of 2010 that the MFAT be used both for a pre and post test. The Departments have formed a committee to review the process for the pre test and we have been working with BSU's Registrar, Dave Carlson, to determine how the implementation of the process will work most efficiently.

The expense of the MFAT may be a factor when using it as the pre and post exam. The Accounting and Business Departments are going to discuss the possibility of differential tuition which would help with the expense. Currently, the online students are taking a local test instead of

the MFAT. The results of those tests are available upon request. Dr. Dean Frost, a Business Administration faculty member, went to the regional IACBE meeting during the Fall of 2010, and learned strategies for having the online students take the MFAT. This will be reviewed by the Accounting and Business Departments in the near future.

2. DM#1-The faculty have reviewed the results and have decided to continue to gather test results over the course of next year. At that time the department will decide if it is necessary to request comparative results from peer institutions that have commonalities with BSU such as; size, location, public or private, and accreditation membership.

Note: The Department was not provided with results from "peer" institutions for the MFAT exam. We instead compared BSU results to the entire data set.

- 3. IDM#1-The results for graduating seniors gave an overview of the last 11 years. While we did not meet our goal in the 2008 graduating class, the average for the last 11 years exceeded our goal. We will continue to monitor the placement of the graduates in their employment and graduate school. Again, the data is not available for the actual reporting year, but will be 1 year behind the annual report.
- 4. IDM#2- As mentioned last year, the departments examined the International/Global components of the Business and Accounting curricula previous to this finding. A curriculum proposal was submitted last academic year and approved for Fall 2008 to add 3 more courses in the area of International Business (see the list below). More breadth in this topic should assist us to attain a higher percentage in this area in the future.
 - 1. BUAD 3751-- 3751 INTERNATIONAL MARKETING (3 credits)
 - 2. BUAD 3773--3773 GLOBAL FINANCE (3 credits)
 - 3. BUAD 4750--4750 INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT (3 credits)

Additionally, in 2009-2010, BUAD 2750 International Business was added to the curriculum and became effective in the fall of 2010. BUAD 4750 International Management has been proposed and is in the process of being added to the curriculum.

The departments also reviewed the inclusion of "Access" as a term to describe the "Use of Database Management" on the graduating senior survey and have determined that "Access" should not be included.

Operational Effectiveness Information for the *Accounting and Business Departments*

Intended Operational Outcomes of the Business Department:	
1. Promote an engaged and active learning environment	
2. Advance a culture of continuous improvement	
3. Encourage faculty continuous professional development	
4. Ensure the Business Administration curriculum is current and releva	nt
5. Enhance the bond between the department and the diverse local ar activities, and communication to all stakeholders.	nd global communities which we serve through partnerships, outreach
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Operational Outcomes:	Performance Targets/Criteria for Assessment Tools/Methods:
1. National Survey of Student Engagement Survey (NSSE) (OpO-1)	 Meet institutional NSSE engagement benchmarks on: 1. Active and Collaborative Learning 2. Level of Academic Challenge 3. Student-Faculty Interaction. (OpO-1)
2. Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Survey (OpO-1)	Meet institutional SSI student satisfaction scales on: 1. Academic Advising Effectiveness 2. Instructional Effectiveness. (OpO-1)
3. Graduating Senior Survey (OpO-1)	 At least 70% of graduating seniors agree that the BUAD program: faculty support has been strong, teaching has been good, curriculum has been challenging, and advisor has been knowledgeable. At least 70% of graduating seniors are satisfied with the education attained and would recommend the program to family or friends. (OpO-1)
4. Department Annual Strategic Plan Progress Update (OpO-2 & OpO-5)	Programmatic accreditation by 2009 that incorporates a continuous improvement model (OpO-2) Partnerships with other institutions of higher learning that reflect institutional strategic directions. (OpO-5)

5.	Department Annual Report on Faculty Scholarship and Continued Development (OpO-3)	Faculty scholarship expectations per the facu agreement (CBA). Faculty training and development expectation Faculty development in active and other lear Faculty sabbaticals per faculty CBA. Annual distribution of faculty improvement for Annual distribution of faculty travel funds. Participate in active learning programs province current strategic initiative. Approval of sabbaticals on contractually time	ons per the CBA rning strategies funds. ded through Ur	
6.	Minutes from Department (Curriculum) Meetings (OpO-4)	(OpO-3) Department curriculum that meets the MnSG and transferability by required timeline. (Op Department curriculum that meets the IACBI by 2012. Program enrollment growth that reflects inst directions. (Program Catalog) (OpO-4)	timeline. (OpO-4) eets the IACBE expectations for coverage at reflects institutional strategic	
7.	Advisory Board Formal Feedback (OpO-4)	Curriculum changes that consider expectatio educational partners while reflecting discipli		•
8.	Department Annual Report on education and academic partnerships (OpO-5)			reflect
9.	Department Annual Report on faculty, student and program outreach activities (OpO-5)	Community relationships strengthened through outreach activities. Student learning supported through industry connections and engaged learning opportunities. (OpO-5)		
10	Departmental communication materials –faculty progress reports and course syllabi (OpO-5)	Enhanced communication to all stakeholders. (OpO-5)		
C	many of Decults from Implementing Association Tools (Methods)	·	Performance	Target Was
Sur	Summary of Results from Implementing Assessment Tools/Methods:		Met	Not Met
1.	National Survey of Student Engagement Survey (NSSE) (OpO-1) and Pr Please see <u>Appendix 7A</u> for Accounting IACBE Assessment 2010 Result Please see <u>Appendix 7B</u> for Business ICBE Assessment Results.			х

Noel-Levitz OpO-1: The Noel-Levitz survey is done ever three years. So this information is from the 2008-2009 annual report.	
Meet institutional SSI student satisfaction scales on:	
1. <u>Academic Advising Effectiveness</u>	xxxx
Business Department:	
 BUAD mean score on academic advising: 5.82 	
 BSU mean score on academic advising satisfaction: 5.78 	
 National Peer mean score on academic advising satisfaction 5.25 	
 Differences between both BUAD and BSU with Peer were significant at .001. 	
Accounting Department	
 ACCT mean score on academic advising: 6.13 	
 BSU mean score on academic advising satisfaction: 5.78 	
 National Peer mean score on academic advising satisfaction 5.25 	
• Differences between both BUAD and BSU with Peer were significant at .001.	xxxx
2. <u>Instructional Effectiveness</u>	
Business Department:	XXXX
 BUAD mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.62 	
 BSU mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.61 	
 National Peer mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.26 	
 Differences between both BUAD and BSU with Peer were significant at .001. 	
Accounting Department:	
 BUAD mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.72 	
 BSU mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.61 	
 National Peer mean score on instructional effectiveness: 5.26 	XXXX

	• Differences between both BUAD and BSU with Peer were significant at .001.		
3. Gradu	ating Senior Survey OpO-1:		
	st 70% of graduating seniors agree that the Accounting and BUAD program: faculty support has been strong, ng has been good, curriculum has been challenging, and advisor has been knowledgeable.		
Percei	ntage of graduates who agree with the following statement.		
Busine	ess Administration: While a student at Bemidji State,		
0	the quality of support from the business administration faculty has been strong: 87%		
0	the quality of teaching and instruction from the business administration faculty has been good: 85%		
0	the business curriculum has been appropriately challenging: 90%	XXXX	
0	my business administration advisor has been knowledgeable and approachable: 83%.		
Accou	nting: While a student at Bemidji State, -		
0	the quality of support from the accounting faculty has been strong: 100%		
0	the quality of teaching and instruction from the accounting faculty has been good: 93%		
0	the accounting curriculum has been appropriately challenging: 86%		
0	my accounting advisor has been knowledgeable and approachable: 100%.	XXXX	
	st 70% of graduating seniors are satisfied with the education attained and would recommend the program to or friends.		
-	ntage of graduates who agree with the following statement:		
	II, in evaluating my experience in the Business Administration program at Bemidji State,		
0	I am satisfied with the education I have obtained 97%		
0	I would recommend this program to friends or family interested in business administration: 84%	XX	
	II, in evaluating my experience in the Accounting program at Bemidji State, -		
0	I am satisfied with the education I have obtained 86%	XX	
0	I would recommend this program to friends or family interested in business administration:85%		
4. Annua	Il Strategic Plan Progress:	х	

I Drogram	nmatic accreditation by 2009 that incorporates a continuous improvement model (OpO-2)		
	Accreditation Awarded Spring 2009. Budget support for Accreditation efforts attained for 2009-2010. However, notice was made to the		
0			
	department that little support would be available beginning the 2010-2011 academic year.		
0	Revised Strategic Plan to IACBE's Note requirements		
0	HLC Visit in the Spring of 2010 led to a review of assessment across campus. Several of the		
	recommendations are to be instituted.		
Partner	ships with other institutions of higher learning that reflect institutional strategic directions. (OpO-5)		
Continu	ued pursuit and research of partnerships		
5. Depart	ment Annual Report on Faculty Scholarship and Continued Development (OpO-3)		
	scholarship expectations per the faculty collective bargaining agreement (CBA).		
-	Faculty committee reviews requests for Faculty Professional Improvement Grants (PIG), and recommends		
Ŭ	to Academic Affairs. PIG funds provided by University and governed by faculty CBA. Applications for		
	faculty distribution for professional improvement grant (PIG) funds are done annually. The Chair of the PIG		
	Committee stated the process as follows:		
-Priorit	y to faculty is given over fixed-term faculty or adjuncts		
-Per a f	aculty-completed survey, grants awarded are prioritized in the following categories:		
Travel	or confirmed presentations, performances, and exhibits	х	
Researc	ch travel		
Researc	ch supplies		
	uing education		
	rative research/projects with students		
	sciplinary research/projects		
	lum development		
Degree	completion		
Grants	are competitive and successful proposals will demonstrate a clear intellectual justification; contribute to		
	ional development plans of individual faculty; and will be consistent with university priorities as understood		
	Professional Improvement and Development Committee.		

Grant awards are based on the following:

- Description of the proposed project and its objectives.
- Description of how this project fits into the above selected funding category.
- Explanation of what evidence of professional improvement will result from the proposed project.
- Description of the relationship that exists between the proposed project and the mission of one or more of the following: University, College, Department, Professional Development Plan.
- Description of any additional considerations that you feel are pertinent to your project.

A 5 member committee (the Professional Improvement Committee) is overseen by rotating Deans (currently Dean Joan Poor) meets to discuss grant applications and awards.

Faculty training and development expectations per the CBA. (Annual distribution of faculty improvement funds). Annual distribution of faculty travel funds

• Faculty travel funds provided by University and governed by faculty CBA. Such funds have been distributed to faculty for 2009-2010 academic year.

Faculty development in active and other learning strategies

Participate in active learning programs provided through University's current strategic initiative.

- Dean continues to inform department faculty of development opportunities for active learning provided by Academic Affairs and/or the College during 2009-2010 and 2010-2011.
- Dean continues to review and evaluate faculty scholarship and continued development in faculty progress reports (Professional Development Plan (PDP) and Progress Reports).

Faculty sabbaticals per faculty CBA.

Approval of sabbaticals on contractually timely basis.

 Faculty and Dean reviewed and recommended two sabbatical applications. Sabbaticals funded by University and governed by faculty CBA. The two sabbatical requests were granted for Business faculty for the 2009-2010 academic year.

Please see <u>Appendix10</u> – Memo from Dean Maxwell for a summary of activities in this area.

6.	Minutes from Department (Curriculum) Meetings (OpO-4) Department curriculum that meets the IACBE expectations for coverage by 2012. Program enrollment growth that reflects institutional strategic directions. (Program Catalog) (OpO-4)		
	Department curriculum that meets the MnSCU expectations for length and transferability by required timeline. (OpO-4) Curriculum packets were prepared at the department level, approved by the Dean, and vetted by the institutional curriculum committee. All curriculum changes meet the MnSCU guidelines on program length and transferability. Specifically, BUAD/ACCT 4600: Senior Seminar course was added to Accounting and Business curricula and is being taught beginning this fall. The department approved an MBA program, pending resources approval. This proposal is still in progress. All curriculum packets also addressed any financial resources or additional personnel needed for implementation as well as student and industry demand. All departmental meetings had a designated recorder to take official minutes of all decisions and discussions concerning curricula and other issues. Discussions of compliance with MnSCU's 60/120 degree credit requirement are in progress – currently the Accounting and Business Department's curriculum should be within the credit hours. If copies of the departmental minutes are needed as documentation, please contact me. Minutes are taken at each department meeting and are saved on a shared faculty drive.	х	
7.	Advisory board Formal Feedback (OpO-4) Curriculum changes that consider expectations of relevant industry and educational partners while reflecting discipline standards. The College Advisory Council has become very active. Please see <u>Appendix 10</u> – Memo from Dean Maxwell for a summary of activities in this area.	х	
8.	Department Annual Report on education and academic partnerships (OpO-5) Partnerships with other institutions of higher learning which reflect institutional strategic directions. (OpO-5) Maintained all current partnerships and are developing articulation agreements with an international educational provider in Hong Kong. Dr. Sanjeev Phukan of the Business Administration Department is the Director of International Studies. Continued support of MARS, the college's student-run organization that provides a variety of marketing-related project to support local small business and non-profit organizations in northern MN that do not have access to an	х	

	affordable comparable services. Continuing to pursue viability of a business administration degree through a shared format with regional tribal colleges			
9.	Department Annual Report on faculty, student and program outreach activities (OpO-5) Community relationships strengthened through outreach activities. Student learning supported through industry connections and engaged learning opportunities. (OpO-5) Continued Support of MARS the College's student-run organization that provides a variety of marketing-related project to support local small business and non-profit organizations in northern MN that do not have access to an affordable comparable services. Also, various courses, for example Strategic Management, work with real clients in the area as part of the course's requirements. There are a variety of clubs that have outreach activities: Business Club's (local Boys and Girls Club); Accounting Club activities; and Computer Information Systems (CIS) Club activities. Students and faculty are looking into having a Marketing Club. Additionally students are involved in Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) by Income Tax students; Rotaract; the competitive Sales Team; Students in Free Enterprise (SIFE) and internships. Accounting students attend the annual Accounting and Auditing Student Conference in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Several of the professors have a community service component in their classes. Both Alpha Phi Sigma and Sigma Beta Delta are coordinated out of the Business Department.	x		
10	Departmental communication materials – faculty progress reports and course syllabi (OpO-5) Enhanced communication to all stakeholders (OpO-5) Enhance electronic presence and print materials. More communication materials are being provided to a variety of stakeholders. The College now has a newsletter "Due North" that comes out each semester and has a new brochure "Opportunity awaits" that highlights each of the departments. This material is snail-mailed and e-mailed to alumni (15,000), community, businesses, students, prospective students, faculty, staff, administration and others in a seven state region. Please see <u>Appendix 10</u> – Memo from Dean Maxwell for a summary of activities in this area.	x		
Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:				
1.	 National Survey of Student Engagement Survey (NSSE) (OpO-1) and Program Objective-1 Part 5 The changes made at the beginning of the 2010 academic year should help with the attainment of the targets. 			

Please see <u>Appendix 8</u>– 8/16/10 memo from Dr. Fredrickson re: Changes to Graduating Senior Survey based on recent evaluation of NSSE. This memo encompasses the results and the recommendations of Dr. Fredrickson in each of the areas of *Enriching Educational Experiences, Active and Collaborative Learning, Academic Challenge, Student Faculty Interactions*. These items were reviewed by the programs on August 18, 2010 and changes were agreed upon. Please see <u>Appendix 9</u> – Minutes from Meeting of August 18, 2010.

2. Advisory Board Formal Feedback (OpO-4)

Last year, a plan to include the Employer survey questions in internship packets to get feedback from employers was discussed. This was planned to begin in the Fall 2010. Additionally, last year it was reported that discussions with the Dean's Office were taking place to develop a new survey tool for the new Advisory Board.

As is reported in the memo from Dean Maxwell, the College Advisory Council has become very active. The Council is comprised of approximately 15 people who meet biannually. Additionally, informal feedback is still given to the programs by employers.

Please see <u>Appendix 10</u> – Memo from Dean Maxwell for a summary of activities in this area.

3. Departmental communication materials –faculty progress reports and course syllabi (OpO-5) Enhance electronic and presence and print materials for 2009-2010, specifically create departmental and college brochures to disperse by Spring 2011.

Last year this performance target was not met; however, this year it was met. Please see <u>Appendix 10</u> – Memo from Dean Maxwell. The College provides more communication materials to a variety of stakeholders. The College has a newsletter "Due North" that comes out each semester and a new brochure "Opportunity awaits" that highlights each of the departments. This material is snail-mailed and e-mailed to alumni (15,000), community, businesses, students, prospective students, faculty, staff, administration and others in a seven state region.