The Regulation of Online Gaming Across Jurisdictions: Success, Standards and Stability

> PETER NELSON BEMIDJI STATE UNIVERSITY

Introduction

- 2005- fifteen to twenty million online gamblers from United States
- Online gaming by U.S. players currently worth an estimated \$9 billion
- 2006- Security and Accountability for Every Port Act (SAFE)
- Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act (UIGEA)
- DOJ and "Black Friday"

Introduction

• UIGEA

- Purpose is to stop flow of money from gamblers to online casinos
- However this has not been the case
- People have found loopholes

 An Analysis of Internet Gambling and its Policy Implications. (Stewart, 2006)

 73 jurisdictions that have legalized and regulated online gaming

• Mostly Antigua, Malta, and Gibraltar

Most looking for financial gain

• Gaming Act 2005 (UK) could shift locations

- Leading jurisdictions have created regulatory structures
- Most share various basic fundamentals
 - Identification and residence
 - Fair and approved technology
 - Minimum gambling age
 - Loss limits and betting limits
 - Anti-money laundering

 The U.S. on Tilt: Why the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act is a Bad Bet. (Alexander 2009)

- Predicted the UIGEA will fail to reign in online gambling.
 Argues that the U.S. federal government is treading an improvident course towards prohibition.
- Suggests the U.S. should abandon its current course and find ways regulate online gambling.

• Four Phases of Internet Regulation. (Palfrey 2010)

- 2005 to 2010 is know as access controlled phase
- Main feature is a series of mechanisms to limit access or information
- Possible fourth phase: access contested
- Certainly true in online gaming
- Question is no longer if it should be regulated but how it should be regulated
- **Research question:** How should internet gambling be regulated.

Methodology

• Research focuses on possible causes of growth, success, and stability.

 Units of analysis are the 73 jurisdictions (countries) that regulate online gaming.

Indicators of Success

First experiment is a linear regression test between total gaming score and number of gaming sites.
Independent variable: Total Gaming
Dependent Variable: Number of Gaming Sites

Linear Regression Between Total Gaming and Number of Gaming Sites

| Linear Regression Between Number of Gaming Sites and Total Gaming T Statistics in Parentheses | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Constant | -56.381 (-3.379)* | |
| Total Gaming regression coefficient | 47.549 (6.294)* | |
| R Square | .358 | |
| Adjusted R Square | .349 | |
| *p<.05 | | |

What game causes highest total gaming score?

Difference of means test between total gaming and poker, casino, sports book, and lottery.
Trying to find out which game has the biggest impact on total gaming score.



*p<.05

Indicators of Growth

- Dependent variable: Growth Per Year
- Independent Variables: Annual Licensing Fees, Start-up Licensing Fees
- Looking to see how different types of fees affect annual growth of gaming sites among jurisdictions.
- Expect to see negative relationship between the variables.



Indicators of Stability

Linear regression test to test stability of jurisdictions
Dependent variable: Number of Years Gaming
Independent Variable: Stability Score

| Linear Regression Between Number of Years Gaming and Stability Score | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Linear Regression Between Number of Years Gaming and Stability | | |
| T Statistics in Parentheses | | |
| Constant | .924 (.570) | |
| Stability Score regression coefficient | 2.857 (3.854)* | |
| R Square | .240 | |
| Adjusted R Square | .224 | |
| *p<.05 | | |
| | | |

Conclusion

- For the most part there is statistical significance in the research
- However, research may only paint a broad picture.
 Variables such as tax statistics, employment statistics, number of players, etc. would be more helpful

Questions?