

**FACTORS OF
ENVIRONMENTAL
SUSTAINABILITY IN AFRICA,
ASIA, AND LATIN AMERICA**

BY JACOB CONVERSE

OBJECTIVE

- ◎ To find how economic, sociopolitical, and geographic factors affect environmental sustainability in traditionally non-western regions

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

- ◎ The maintenance of natural capital
- ◎ Output Rule
- ◎ Input Rule
- ◎ Operational Principles

LITERATURE DEALING WITH THE ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE

- Suggests that higher GDPPC correlates with better environmental sustainability
- Widely accepted and tested theory
- Studies rarely target traditionally non-western regions

LITERATURE DEALING WITH THE SOCIOPOLITICAL PERSPECTIVE

- ◎ Suggests that better environmental sustainability is a result of a more active and educated population and government
- ◎ Involves many factors
- ◎ Based mainly on theory rather than solid statistical evidence

LITERATURE DEALING WITH THE GEOGRAPHICAL PERSPECTIVE

- ⦿ Suggests that countries will perform similarly to neighboring and high contact countries
- ⦿ Suggests that the agricultural productivity correlates positively with environmental sustainability
- ⦿ Sustainability is dependent on the resources available to its population

DEPENDENT VARIABLE

- ◎ Environmental Performance Index- EPI
 - Quantitative measurement of how close countries are to environmental policy goals
 - Published in 2010 with data from 2008
 - Uses 25 indicators from 10 policy categories
 - Redone every two years with current data

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

- ⦿ Economic Perspective
 - GDP growth as a percent
 - GDPPC
- ⦿ Sociopolitical Perspective
 - Government effectiveness
 - Literacy rates
 - Voice and accountability
- ⦿ Geographical Perspective
 - Cereal yield (kg per hectare)

LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

<u>Independent Variables</u>	<u>Percent of EPI Explained</u>			
	<u>Africa, Asia, and Latin America</u>	<u>Africa</u>	<u>Asia</u>	<u>Latin America</u>
GDP Growth %	-.189** (.198)	.042 (.374)	-.250 (.279)	-.324* (.533)
GDPPC (by thousands)	-.235** (.108)	.086 (.703)	-.350* (.125)	-.326 (.620)
Government Effectiveness	.342** (1.98)	.417** (3.281)	.392* (2.753)	.682** (4.685)
Literacy Rates	.278** (.051)	.203 (.082)	.044 (.085)	.340 (.223)
Voice and Accountability	.038 (1.334)	-.295* (2.381)	.190 (2.017)	-.429* (.223)
Cereal Yield (kg per hectare)	.226** (.011)	.369** (.001)	-.019 (.001)	.272 (.002)
Constant	43.65**	39.15**	59.27*	33.67*
F	13.79	5.76	2.64	4.22
Adjusted R ²	.443	.497	.186	.585
Number of Cases	116	44	47	25

Note: β of regression reported with standard errors in parentheses.

*p < .1, **p < .05

RESULTS FOR TRADITIONALLY NON-WESTERN REGIONS

- ⦿ Negative correlation- GDP growth, GDPPC
- ⦿ Positive correlation- government effectiveness, literacy rates, cereal yield
- ⦿ No significant correlation- voice and accountability

RESULTS FOR AFRICA

- ⦿ Government effectiveness and cereal yield both have significantly positive correlations
- ⦿ Voice and accountability indicates negative correlation though only significant at the .1 level

RESULTS FOR ASIA

- ⦿ GDPPC suggests a negative correlation though at a .1 significance level
- ⦿ Government effectiveness indicates a positive correlation at a .1 significance level

RESULTS FOR LATIN AMERICA

- ⦿ Government effectiveness is positively correlated and is the strongest variable
- ⦿ GDP growth and voice and accountability are both negatively correlated though only at the .1 significance level

CONCLUSIONS

- ◎ This research strongly suggests that a stronger economy does not lead to a higher level of environmental sustainability for these regions
- ◎ Education, government effectiveness, and cereal yield are variables to increase
- ◎ Each region has its own needs, there is no one answer