Senate Meeting
February 26, 2007

Present: Sandra Bland, Tammy Bobrowsky, Chris Brown, Fu-Hsian Chang (for Pat Welle), Donald Cloutman, Sue Cutler, Patrick Donnay, Brian Donovan, Elizabeth Dunn, Kathy Faggiani (for Kari Wood), Tom Fauchald, Susan Hauser, Craig Hougen, Christina Kippenhan, Keith Marek, Brendan McManus, Kathleen Meyer, Ron Oldham, Darren Olson, Deb Peterson, Joel Pugh, Mark Schmit, Richard Spindler, Derek Webb, James White, Rod Witt, Marty Wolf

Guests: Patrick Carriere, Sharon Gritzmacher, Louise Mengelkoch

1. Meeting
2. Dunn announced the meeting on March 5, 2007 which will be a 30 minute meeting to (1) vote on the budget response and (2) act on a curriculum report. Meet & Confer is at 4:30 that day.
3. Wolf recognized Sharon Gritzmacher: Maureen Gibbon, English Department, volunteered to serve on the Media Board. Donovan moved, Wolf seconded, to endorse her position on the Board; motion carried.
4. Dunn introduced the draft of the faculty response to the administration’s budget proposal. Senators are asked to take it to their constituents and Dunn will email it to all faculty tomorrow, February 27. Faculty are asked to submit their responses directly to Dunn by noon on Wednesday, February 29. The short time line is necessary in order to prepare the document for final review and vote at the March 5 Senate meeting. Per an agreement with the administration, the deadline for the BSUFA response is March 5 (the original deadline was February 28, 2007).
5. Separate departmental responses may be submitted until Monday, March 5. They are appended to the BSUFA response and do not require Senate action.
6. Dunn briefly reviewed the response document and then asked for comments, suggestions and requests.
7. Discussion
   • Kippenhan: The PEHS Department has several concerns:

   PEHS notes that any university reorganization has an impact on the department, especially regarding GA’s, shared faculty, and facilities. Therefore, the PEHS Department should be consulted regarding restructuring in the university. Suggested language: “The chair of PEHS must be included in the restructuring discussion.”

   Kippenhan also reported concerns from the department regarding the two conditions for Men’s Hockey set forth in the administration’s proposal. Dunn requested that PEHS submit a statement for inclusion in the Addendum to the budget response.

   Clarification: the budget proposal only says “no more Division I men’s hockey” if the terms are not met.”
Question: Do we want to say that both conditions must be met in full? Suggested language: “Both conditions must be met in full.”

A discussion ensued regarding loss of community connection with loss of Division One hockey.

- Editing suggestion: change “high school property” to “old high school property.”
- Discussion of the inclusion of the sabbatical issue. Is it a budgetary issue or a planning issue? Dunn said she would remove the item from the response.
- Discussion regarding the use of the word “insist” in the preamble.
- Discussion regarding the absence of “wellness” as a concern in the liberal arts. Dunn said she would include it in the response.
- Dunn thanked the Senators for their consideration of the document.
- Fauchald moved, seconded by Wolf to adjourn; motion carried.
- The Senate adjourned at 5:00 PM.

Susan Hauser, Recorder