Call to Order at 4:07

Attending 5 April: Dave Benson, Chris Brown, Cheryl Byers, Sue Cutler, Laurie Desiderato, Brian Donovan, Tom Fauchald, Eric Forsyth, Mark Fulton, Dan Gartrell, Janice Haworth, Mike Herbert, Bonnie Higgins, Butch Holden, Ellen Jones, Christel Kippenhan, Ivy Knoshaug, Tim Kroeger, Doug Leif, Co Livingston, Keith Marek, Mike Morgan, Tom Murphy, Dianne Narum, Bud Nestel, Miriam Rivera-Hokanson, Mehdi Sheikholeslami, David Smith, Kathryn Smith, Jeffrey Ueland, Derek Webb, Pat Welle.

Not attending 5 April: Virgil Bakken, Tom Beech, Matthew Bowen, Mark Christensen, Phil Dahl, Jeanine Gangeness, Craig Hougen, John Truedson

Approval of Minutes of 1 Mar 2010
Nestel moved, Jones seconded. Carried

Roland Specht-Jarvis, IFO Presidential Candidate spoke on failed planning at SCSU.

President’s Report
Delegate assembly is this week. We are at 15 and have 5 seats available. Call BSUFA offices.

Nestel moved, Fauchald seconded recognize Dave Carlson and AVP Erickson to discuss MnTC at 4:30.

Pres Brown emphasized that we need to get the Senate's blessing on MnTC proposals and so we may have to meet each Monday this month.

We have invited both Linda Baer and Louise Hoxworth to visit and are waiting a response. Hoxworth mentioned that she didn't have travel expenses.

Fauchald moved, Smith seconded to pay milage, room and travel expenses for Hoxworth. Carried.

Proposed timelines for promotion, tenure, non-renewal, professional development, and sabbatical leaves were distributed. No one reported problems. Webb moved and Fauchald seconded to authorize AVP Erickson to distribute to facuolty. Carried

Procedures for Directorship Appointments from AVP Erickson (attached)
AVP Erickson sent forward a more transparent and inclusive procedure for handling directorships. Fauchald: This applies to five of the directorships?
Brown: Yes.
Jones: Since courses are being filled, what happens to the class if release time opens?
Brown: We should ask for over load. This is the opportunity for corrections and suggestions. There is no provision for recall in the procedure.
Jones: It would be incumbent on members to apply responsibly. This comes pretty late for a two person department.
Brown: Is that a recommendation?
Jones: I would like to move that there should be guarantee of backfill, and that the call goes out by 1 Sept the year before to better facilitate scheduling. Fauchald seconded. later defeated.
Kroeger: A guarantee of backfill is important for all programs
Brown: Has there been backfill?
Knoshaug: There is money for adjunct.
Kippenhan moved, X seconded to split the motion: carried
Fulton: What's the time line? February for fall, right? Then it needs to be before that.
There was discussion on retirements and advance planning and how to plan.
Kippenhan moved that the appointment be made no later than 1 Feb, Jones seconded. Carried
Knoshaug: This gives them 2 months to decide. They have to work backward from February to find a date.
Cutler: We need to add that either side can recall.
Murphy: If there's cause, the administration can remove them.
Knoshaug: I serve at the AVPs discretion.
Cutler: Moves to add recall from either side. Nestel seconded.
Knoshaug: Both sides? If the director were unsatisfactory, complaint could be made to academic administrator.
Fulton: At what level would be recall be done?
Cutler: Senate level.
Kroeger: If senate were to call for a recall, the recommendation would go to the AVP who could take the recommendation or not?
Brown: Yes.
Donovan: I'm not sure the motion really moves because we are not in the loop for it.
Fulton: I'm in a agreement with that
Motion defeated.
Welle: What we did with the time line was in contradiction to item 4.
Knoshaug: In terms of appointment, it's a 3 year term. If someone wanted to reapply, they would just go through the same application?
Brown: Is that a motion?
Knoshaug: Yes. Nestel seconded.
After a complete term, a candidate reapplyes.
Carried.

The Senate hears from AVP Erickson and Dave Carlson on MnTC matters.
Brown: Hoxworth called on us to deal with MnTC. The Senate charged the Curriculum Committee to do this. And we're now looking at how to expedite the charge.

Erickson: These are the questions from the Chancellor's office and the Curricular Oversight Committee. I see two parts. One has to do with compliance. Those are the things in the catalog.
Issues arise starting on page 4. This set of questions is not new. It started in 2002, and in 2006. In 2006, Baer asked for a course-by-course review for MnTC. We got a pass on that because we were revising our curriculum. We aren't revising our curriculum now. In part the problem is the end of term crunch. We have address these issues and send them into Hoxworth by Sept 1.

Nestel: When did this memo come?
Erickson: 12 Feb. I sent it to Lib Ed, and to Brown. This is tricky. We answered MnSCU in the past, but they keep coming back with them not answering fully.
Brown: Dave, Nancy, and I have been talking about this. MnSCU is essentially trying to decimate our lib ed.

Welle: Three issues: Make an intellectual argument. These are prefixes. Second, we can play the system by changing the prefixes. [Missed the 3rd]
AVP: I see them same three. What do we want to win here? Something for the students or set our stake? If there was some overwhelming take...
Donovan: There was a meeting in Ed Arts in which you proposed taking prefixes out of lib ed. Guideline 4 excludes departments by agreement. But your take was program cuts would lead us to the same place.
Erickson: I believe so. But since that day, there are aspects I would fully reconsider. We have a number of CIPS that are excluded and guideline 4 that exclude.
Gartrell: Can we exclude specifics when it comes to majors?
Carlson: We can do that.
Donovan: These are lib ed courses and they aren't just for one person's major.
Smith: The idea of designating the specific area - that it is for one major - the idea is to have students take the course to get that alternative perspective on the course.
Marek: We want students to have courses outside the major.
Desiderato: Why is it that MnSCU is designating not only what we teach but what goes in the lib ed?
Carlson: They don't dictate. It's a local decision to keep the MnTC and lib ed aligned.
Erickson: When we changed from 7 categories to 10, we went along with them.
Desiderato: That came from an intention to serve students.
Erickson: We are part of the system and the decision was made to let the students transfer.
Carlson: The latest move is to have goal areas.
Fulton: Essentially what MnTC keyed into was the language in our course description. It's a lib ed course, but MnSCU saw a recommendation in the description and read the course as a professional development course. Our response is to rephrase that description to clarify its position in lib ed.
Erickson: As long as the language makes it clear that the course is for non-majors.
Nestel: We did that with Biology and Hoxworth said it was fine.
Carlson: That's triage. The prefix issue is another issue. We need to address the excluded prefixes as the issue. Contradictions and inconsistencies are throughout the system and aren't making good arguments.
Nestel: Curriculum Committee feels guilty voting against these things.
Brown: Curriculum has the charge to call on other committees to help them. I trust you're stepping up to the plate.
Sheikholeslami: Is there any problem with the transfers?
Carlson: No. They are mandated by the system.
Jones: They want to make sure that the course is open to all students: Does the No Prerequisite not satisfy that?
Erickspn: I expect that they want students to understand it.
Gartrell: On specific courses, it seems like discussing them with the departments would help make a call.
Erickson: I am waiting for guidance from faculty.
Gartrell: We can reach an amicable solution.
Welle: Any cases where other schools outside MnSCU that aren't going to accept transfers?
Carlson: Not yet, but it's coming
Knoshaug: What's the solution for those classes that do not have a lab or lab-like experience?
Nestel: On the lab-like, they include an exercise with an open text, solving problems and the like.
Brown: Astronomy courses would go out and view the stars.
Desiderato: How are decisions going to be made about excluded prefixes?
Nestel: We put in a resolution in delegate assembly.
Brown: We're strategizing about things we can do. We can put forward a letter on our concerns, getting our sister schools to go in on it and take it to statewide M&C. They need to hear our concerns. Part of the rationale is what the 2 years can offer, so they want to exclude ours because the 2 years can't offer it.
Smith: I'm assuming we've counting the number of courses that are excluded.
Brown: What do you do with an honors program?
Knoshaug: It doesn't fit in the boxes.
Brown: We are waiting for departments to turn in their fixes.
Nestel: One college is done. They had one course. I know there's a rush, but this didn't come in until February 18. We need the materials. Just look at the things you need to do and make it as clean as possible. Curriculum is meeting each Tuesday at 3:00.
Brown: As you are a representative body, get back to your constituents to get some feedback.
Nestel: Courses to approve: Biology and Mass Comm.
Brown: Wait until next week. Budget next week.

In recess until next Monday at 5:14

**Continuation, Monday, 12 April**

Call to order at 4:00.

Attending 12 April: Dave Benson, Chris Brown, Mark Christensen, Sue Cutler, Phil Dahl, Laurie Desiderato, Brian Donovan, Tom Fauchald, Eric Forsyth, Mark Fulton, Dan Gartrell, Bonnie Higgins, Butch Holden, Ellen Jones, Christel Kippenhan, Ivy Knoshaug, Tim Kroeger, Co Livingston, Keith Marek, Mike Morgan, Tom Murphy, Bud Nestel, Miriam Rivera-Hokanson, David Smith, Kathryn Smith, Derek Webb, Pat Welle

Not attending 12 April: Virgil Bakken, Cheryl Byers, Matthew Bowen, Tom Beech, Craig Hougen, Jeanine Gangeness, Janice Haworth, Mike Herbert, Doug Leif, Dianne Narum, Mehdi Sheikholeslami, John Truedson, Jeffrey Ueland,
President's Report
Delegte assembly on April 9 - 10

Tomorrow: IFO Presidential election. Polling in Memorial 201, 8:30 - 4:00.

Student request for a campus-wide Attendance Policy
Brown: Students are asking for an attendance policy. Stems from one student not understanding if attendance would count in that class.
Fauchald: I'm totally against. It's in the way of academic freedom. Students are shopping for is something that could be used across the campus.
Brown: For what it's worth, this is an individual faculty member. It's a contractual right and we shouldn't be addressing it.
Desiderato: It's to the best for the students to leave attendance policies to the individual faculty
Smith: Agreed. I'm concerned about responding to one student's issue.
Marek: Move to report to M&C and to add to the Student Handbook that attendance policy for a course is up to the individual instructor. Jones seconded.
Fauchald: The handbook isn't a contract. We don't work under handbook.
Welle: If this is a concern, remind faculty that we need clear language in the syllabus.
Murphy: My comment to Erwin was shouldn't there be a comment in the Handbook that there is an expectation that students go to class.
Smith: I'm adding that students are expected to remain awake.

Nestel: Point of order. We have a number of agencies waiting,
Morgan: Moved to reorder agenda to move Curriculum committee to top. Knoshaug seconded. Carried.

Curriculum Report VIII
Nestel: Education and Tech Studies.
Kippenhan: Grad committee recommends approval of the grad components of this report. One struggle was Tech Study: Certification for 10 credits. Undergrads have electives, grads do not have electives. Grads are required to take all the courses. FYI: We're going by MnSCU procedures when it comes to grad certification. All courses need to be taught by the institution for grad certification.
Nestel: I'll check on the rest.
Donovan: Is there any opposition to passing Report VIII?
Brown: Any opposition?
Motion carried as unopposed.

Transfer Curriculum
Nestel: As listed, Theatre, Biology, Chemistry, Geography, and Mass Comm all approved. A comment: The AA was brought to us as a mandate. That will happen. We're still waiting for other departments.

Nestel recognized visitor Crocker

Crocker: How do those track?

Nestel: The form comes from Wendy to the Committee. Send it to Wendy electronically and it goes forward.

Brown: Have Wendy send a reminder.

Nestel: Recommend to pass these as accepted

Desiderato: There's a biology class listed for non-biology majors. It is recommended for non-biology majors and lib arts students. Do we have that category?

Fulton: That's the language Hoxworth wants to use to indicate this is a course for non-majors. Further discussion on substitution language.

Desiderato: Moved to edit lib ed language to suit. Kippenhan seconded.

Carried.

Morgan moved to reorganize agenda to hear from Academic Affairs. Webb seconded. Motion carried.

Crocker on Academic Affairs - Review of Complaints procedures (attached): Academic Integrity

Crocker: The matter was that we needed to do a deep edit of our procedures for student integrity code. We deliberated. You gave us a charge. We spoke with Erwin and her staff, the students, hammered out the proposal attached to the agenda. We moved some things around. The new version 2.3 includes only those matters we have responsibility for as faculty. Student Senate has an issue: Why isn't there a statute of limitations on plagiarism? I spoke with the Student Senate for 30 minutes. Like the baccalaureate, this was conferred for life. You're still protected by all rights. The Academic Affairs Committee brings this forward as a recommendation and would like an up or down vote. Besides points of clarification, the new provision is that we would not be compelled to testify. This is a corrective matter.

Desiderato: This references a university conduct officer. Does anybody hold that position or is it Erwin?

Crocker: It's Randy Ludeman.

Motion carried.

Process to Address the Budget Crisis

Brown: The administration has asked us to participate as part of the process of the challenge of the budget. This won't be a challenge so much this year, but next it will. I don't think we need to be on a committee that would sit on making cuts. We have to deliver our response to M&C, but if we need time to discuss it, we need to take it.

Fauchald: distributed resolutions from Budget Committee for discussion.

We are not dealing with budget process as much as the other campuses. They are looking at programmatic cuts. This will be forwarded MnSCU plans for 2012 - 13. It's important because if they are going to terminate faculty with less than 20 years of service, they have until the 20th class
day. Mankato expects to hear that. We are not. Metro, Mankato, and SCSU have suspended all
probationary and moved them to fixed term. MnSCU is 3.9% of state budget - that's a 200m cut to
MnSCU. BSU is 3.4% of MnSCU's budget. That's a million.

Fauchald: The Committee laid out their concerns. We're sitting pretty good. Motions are listed
here.

A - Don't use the Cut Committee approach.

B - Basically, we are 60% tuition-driven, and the allocation model is enrollment driven. Enrollment
is critical and tuition monies are critical. A drop in enrollment means we move into reserve right
away. Moorhead is losing enrollment and are getting into a spiral. We ask for consideration for
that.

C - This is based on what we hear from the other campuses. We make our first move to move
probationary to fixed termers. Probationary have more protection than faculty with less than 20
years. Hire a probationary and it may cut that roster off for two years. But it leads to problems
with other departments.

Jones: "Placing appropriate emphasis" means what? Is it enrollment or FTEs? Or is it a matter of
value added? Are you suggesting that the IFO represents only tenured faculty?

Browb: We are the lowest percentage of IFO people
Fauchald: B is the concern: More than half the cost of the school has nothing to do with
instruction. We're saying that we want money-generating first. Instruction is critical. It brings all
the money in. We're asking that the administration think about that before they make cuts.

Welle: Choosing that language, we haven't had one principle. We're referring back to language of
three years ago. We had an associate dean discussion, and we didn't think that was wise, and it did
get set aside. More attention on enrollment.

Knoshaug: Instead of "appropriate" use "more."

Brown: Is that a motion?

Knoshaug: Not yet. Related to C: There seems to be a conflict. We're offering up our fixed terms.
If we're going to offer them, offer them up as probationary.

Fauchald moved, Nestel seconded to divide the recommendation.

Brown Examine motion A: Any discussion?

Fulton: Clarify it, please.

Fauchald: It means that the administration will have to follow our already established rules and not
create a special committee. We bring it to senate

Tom: How will this operate during the summer?

Brown: Exec meets during summer for M&C. We offer exec $100 for each meeting, once a month.
In this case, it may be as needed. Quistgaard wants us to meet with exec and with new pres.

[X]: Executive Committee wouldn't be making big decisions?

Tom: They may have to.

Brown: The revolving door administrators has kept us from cutting faculty. The primary goal is to
keep the cuts out of us until the 20th instructional day. The other option to establish a committee to
made recommendations. St. Cloud did that.

Desiderato: I would rather it was more clearly articulated. [X] seconded. Move to amend as a second clause:

A. We are in favor of exclusively following the meet and confer process in balancing the University's budget. Any decisions that include all IFO and academic programs must come through the M&C process.

Meeting in recess until 19 April at 5:18.

Continuation 19 April. Called to order at 4:04

Attending 19 April: Dave Benson, Chris Brown, Cheryl Byers, Mark Christensen, Laurie Desiderato, Brian Donovan, Tom Fauchald, Eric Forsyth, Jeanine Gangeness, Mike Herbert, Ellen Jones, Christel Kippenhan, Ivy Knoshaug, Tim Kroeger, Co Livingston, Keith Marek, Mike Morgan, Tom Murphy, Bud Nestel, Mehdi Sheikholeslami, Kathryn Smith, Jeffrey Ueland, Derek Webb, Pat Welle

Not attending: Virgil Bakken, Tom Beech, Matthew Bowen, Sue Cutler, Phil Dahl, Dan Gartrell, Mark Fulton, Janice Haworth, Bonnie Higgins, Butch Holden, Doug Leif, Dianne Narum, Miriam Rivera-Hokanson, John Truedson, David Smith,

Brown: We will need to meet next week, but we can get out tonight early.
Any objection to having Quistgaard attend Senate next week? Traditionally, we have given a gift to the out-going president. I need a motion to spend IFO money for this.

Fauchald moved and Smith seconded to purchase a Kindle and case. Carried.

Delegation of power over summer
Brown: We know admin will want to meet.
Fauchald moved, Ueland seconded to grant Exec Committee power over the summer. Carried.

We resume discussion regading the budget. The Budget Committee motion has been divided, and we are discussing A. Fauchald accepted Desiderato's additions and friendly amendment, now to read

A. We are in favor of exclusively following the meet and confer process in balancing the University's budget. This includes but is not limited to issues related to IFO and academic programs.

Brown: This is saying that Senate wants M&C to act for any move.
Fauchald: The original intention was to reinforce the M&C process rather than create a subcommittee. I didn't want to see a strategic committee become the determinant of what's cut. Keeping it in the M&C process prevents that.
Motion carried.

Item B
B. Whereas revenues are increasingly enrollment-driven due to tuition growing absolutely as a percentage of revenue and due to the role of FTE generation in the allocation model:

Kippennham provided an alternative wording, accepted as a friendly substitution.

B: Be it resolved: A guiding principle in balancing the University's budget shall be placing appropriate emphasis on instruction over non-instruction given the increasing importance of enrollment in determining our revenues.

Fauchald: This resolution goes back to the idea of instruction vs non-instruction. The idea is that instruction generates 60% of our revenue, and large part of the allocation model is generated by tuition.
Brown: We've been using this idea for years.
Welle: Appropriate emphasis means placing instruction first.
Jones: The problem is with relying on FTE's alone to determine the value of a department.
Welle: We're aware of that. It doesn't' say the exclusive guiding principle should be instruction. It recognizes other principles.
Jones: It certainly seems to have the emphasis on on the guiding principle.
Fauchald: Let's throw in intercollegiate athletics. A lot of these things have advocates in the community. But there's a huge amount of cost just there: physical plant, for instance. You're going to have the other bargaining units that are out there.
Byers: Is the fear that they're going to cut academics first?
Fauchald: Yes.
Donovan: I recall a resolution that we did not want the cost of instruction to go down. Won't that serve?
Welle: Maki can show you that the percentage of enrollment increased, even though we lost positions.
Brown: Recall the BESI? By getting members from Council 6 to take the incentive, it makes our numbers look better than they are. We seem to be going down pretty quickly.
Benson: We're in risk of losing whole programs, so we need this.
Motion carried.

C. Whereas few if any of the state universities are hiring tenure track positions and BSU's budget uncertainties are so severe as to put the job security of new faculty hires at risk. Be it resolved that the BSUFA senate recommends re-evaluating current hires and re-designating some as fixed term positions.

Jones: This was about protecting 20 year faculty, but it doesn't look like it.
Fauchald; I wished I would have crossed out new and make it faculty at risk. The way the contract is written, a first year probationary cannot be terminated for 2 years. Those with under 20 years can be terminated up to the 20th class day. If we have to cut, you would have to look at taking out
the tenured faculty. If CS hires a first year probationary, that protects the roster for two years because you can't take the tenured out before taking out the probationary. If this happens, they have to cut from a different track without a probationary which is not protected. The budget crisis is going to play out. If they have to cut a lot, they'll cut from other departments.

Smith: Pass.

Donovan: I speak against the motion, and I oppose it with the backing of the English Dept. This motion will enable objectionable actions. Our position is that we should have tenure track and tenured faculty. It's not our business to make removing faculty easier. They are going after low hanging fruit. I don't want to enable that.

Welle: I totally agree - but we're at the 1% exception to that principle. We're going to have a new President, a new AVP, and a new CAS dean. Things are in flux. We're looking at hiring an environmental person, but that may not be wise given a new administration. Second, we normally want to protect our faculty, but we can't right now. Third, the market is bad, but people are coming here with the thought that we're going to be able to keep them. Fourth, we have union brothers and sisters at other institutions who are going to be retrenched, and we can bring those people in. We're asking to re-evaluate.

Byers: I'm opposed for the reasons Donovan stated. As part of a small program, fixed term means that we're not going to get the quality we need to hire. Fixed term is detrimental to our program. Desiderato: I appreciate Pat's comments and the spirit of cooperation, but our department couldn't support it. It seems to contradict motion B. It gives administration power it already has. And it seems arbitrary. Finally, most of the positions are underway, so the impact is going to be minor. I speak against it.

Sheikholeslami: Given the risk environment it's better to freeze all hiring.

Brown: That would be a substitute motion. Is there a second?

Jones: Reevaluating current hires: What does that mean?

Brown: Until a contract is offered, you're not going to be able to guarantee. I second Sheikholeslami's motion.

Murphy: I'm not sure we have any clout.

Fauchald: Six months earlier, the motion would have been good. But we're in the middle of things now. Classes are on the schedule, so a complete freeze wouldn't go.

Sheikholeslami: We can substitute faculty.

Motion to substitute failed.

Knoshaug: I speak against the original motion. It goes against what we have been supporting all these years. And I appreciate the counter-arguments.

Motion C defeated.

MnTC issue to Curriculum Committee

Nestel: To answer the question from last week: Why are three different terms being used to describing the students who are taking courses? MnSCU uses Lib Arts, we are using Lib Ed.

Desiderato: Regardless of what MnSCU calls the students, it we don't call them by our vernacular, it gets confusing.

Murphy: We are trying to change the language so students know what to take.

Marek: Is there any way we can send something to Hoxworth that this is a poor idea to change the language? Our requirements are Lib Ed.
Jones: Can't we just say it's appropriate for all students and leave it at that?
Murphy: We can just create language that students can understand. Hoxworth's template can be set aside.
Desiderato: This wording doesn't make sense at our institution.
Nestel: Would the departments be satisfied with "all students" instead of lib ed or lib arts students? I'm saying go back to the well.
Brown: Do you have other courses to mention?
Nestel: Several are being dropped, some speech course have been moved around. Visual arts has made some changes. I'll have it next week.
Brown: Remaining issues from curriculum next week.

Recessed at 5:00

----

Continued 26 April at 4:03

Attending: Dave Benson, Chris Brown, Cheryl Byers, Sue Cutler, Laurie Desiderato, Brian Donovan, Eric Forsyth, Jeanine Gangeness, Dan Gartrell, Mike Herbert, Bonnie Higgins, Ellen Jones, Christel Kippenhan, Ivy Knoshaug, Co Livingston, Keith Marek, Mike Morgan, Tom Murphy, Dianne Narum, Bud Nestel, Kathryn Smith, Jeffrey Ueland, Derek Webb, Pat Welle

Not attending: Virgil Bakken, Tom Beech, Matthew Bowen, Mark Christensen, Phil Dahl, Tom Fauchald, Mark Fulton, Butch Holden, Craig Hougen, Janice Haworth, Tim Kroeger, Doug Leif, David Smith, Mehdi Sheikholeslami, Miriam Rivera-Hokanson, John Truedson,

Prof Brown gave a goodbye: Bring things together. Remember that his body is a representative body and we have constituents to represent. Transparency: strive for this. Troy is in a challenging two years. Jeannine is new board member. Both join at M&C Weds 5th. Help out. It's too easy to grouse. Fill out the Committees - but also get on board with a lot of the challenges next year. One thing under my terms: there was no retrenchment.

President Quistgaard addressed the Senate to the MnTC.

Quistgaard: Good to see everyone. Time is winding down. We still don't have the HLC review document back. We anticipate seeing 10 year of reaccreditation, but HLC will be visiting to address an inconsistency with learning outcomes. Then there is info on lib ed and learning objectives in lib ed courses. Kudos. It was very strong. HLCs focal point will will be assessment. Transfer: The Board of Trustees requested the audit group to see where we are 10 years in, and they are concerned about transfer. The concern expressed that transfer hasn't been addressed in the eyes of many. There's a perception that students aren't receiving enough credits in a transfer. The Board is going to look closely at transfer, and the legislature has stepped up looking for more frequent reports. It passed the first reading. Take a hard look at that. They are asking for course outlines available to students, and the Board is interested in the learning outcomes for given courses. This allows students to make the comparison between courses. There will also have to be an appeal
process made clear. The Millennials aren't satisfied unless every course transfers they way they want it to, and this is going to be watched by the board and audited. Be aware.

Certain members of the board of trustees would also like to visit the syllabi. I don't know where that will go. If you want to send me comments on the first readings, let me know.

Gartrell: Anyone can replicate a syllabus, what can we do to get them to look at instructor qualification in transfer?
Quistgaard: If you have concrete examples of this, send them to Rod Henry. The board is looking for concrete examples. We're also seeing mission creep: The 2-Years are offering courses that aren't appropriate from 2-Years. The issue is that the 4-years aren't recognizing business and accounting courses from the 2-Years. I want to get this on your radar. These are not courses in the MnTC area but outside the MnTC courses. It's in the majors.
Jones: We have found that content doesn't align with stated outcomes.
Donovan: We are governed by anecdote. If you have a student who wants a degree in engineering because he has taken welding, record that and send it downstate.
Brown: This time it's serious. As much as we have problems with MnSCU, they do have managerial rights.
Quistgaard: I see this as yet another attack on the legitimacy and credibility of the academy.
Welle: Do we have any constructive outcomes from HLC?
Quistgaard: As systems mater, they typically seize more and more control. What that will mean with a new chancellor or a new board, I don't know. This is a controlling board. What can you do? Comment on it. That's about as far as we can go. I get frustrated with the business model. It just doesn't fit the academy. We have to fit the dashboard, and when we don't I have to explain why. If students pursue their passion, and that passion doesn't fit the dashboard, we have to deal with that.
The budget: We're well positioned for the budget, but if the deficit continues as it is, I ask that you work with the new President. I know you'll succeed, because you care about the students and you care about this place. It's always been my wish that we would have a university senate.

Brown: We appreciate the wonderful service of the nine plus years. You've been a leader, and an advocate. I spoke with the Chancellor, and you have been granted emeritus faculty status.

Dave Carlson on 60/120
MnSCU has the 60/120 mandate. AA = 60, BA, BFA = 120 by July 1. What they would like from senate and Curriculum is an acknowledgment that we understand that. Next year, July 1, the AS has to be reduced to 60 credits. The following year, the BS has to fit.
Byers: With this 60/120, the current students in the 128 have to do just 120?
Carlson: Yes. We've reviewed five year offerings. There's a memo asking if you want those active, otherwise we'll drop them.
Gartrell: Is that asking to drop or asking for rationale for keeping?
Carlson: We've seen the memo. Just explain which ones those need.
Donovan moves to acknowledge that we have seen the memo: This body recognizes the power of the state to make laws. Seconded by Welle. Carried.
Brown: Textbook rental is on the horizon. MnSCU has reminded us that we can't use state resources - including our time - for charitable purposes. Watch for the memos.

Jones moved in response to using our time for charitable purposes: Resolved that the body informs Gayle Olson, General Council, that this restriction may be in a conflict with category 5 in the PDP. Desiderato seconded. Motion carried. Exec Committee will take this resolution to M&C for further discussion.

Rules Committee
Webb: An email has been sent out with the election results. The current document gives the results. Rules Committee recommends that Senate approves uncontested candidates. Carried.

Sharon Gritzmacher has taken care of a lot of behind the scenes work. She may be stepping down, and we need someone. The Treasurer position is also open.
Gartrell: move that Senate gives commendation to Sharon Gritzmacher. Morgan seconded. Carried

Curriculum Committee, continued
Nestel: We have distributed changes and drops, and changes in categories. We recommended approval.
Kippenhan: What's the procedure? This is not a curriculum report. Nestel: None of these are through the process yet. These are describing what they will be changing in their descriptions.
Byers: We're sidestepping?
Nestel: All these are going to be done
Brown: Wendy, AVP Erickson, Dave Carlson are all apart of this.
Byers: This might be a precedent
Nestel: We'll see them again when they come through lib ed and curriculum. Knoshaug: On the other hand, changing language - Nestel: Doing nothing means they will be dropped from the transfer curriculum. All we're saying is this is the intent. In the curricular process, some of these may fail. Kippenhan: Move to amend that we acknowledge the receipt of this report and we can send it forward. Nestel: That's ok with the Curriculum Committee.
Byers seconded to substitute.
Knoshaug: All these are going through curriculum. This just shows intent.
Ueland: We've stated that we're going to comply.
Gangeness: If this isn't forwarded right now, these courses will be cut
Original motion carries.

Adjourned at 5:10