Attendees: Jordan Lutz, Carl Isaacson, Brian Brown, Dan Alosso, Erika Bailey-Johnson, Erika Adams, Anna Carlson, Allan Bedford, Brian Hiller, Thomas Dirth,

Regrets: Tori Warne,

1105 Meeting convenes, Introductions

1107 Revisits EV Charging Station Memo

Group reviews language of memo.

Erika B recalls limited access to charging station.

Allan asks can green fee or grant funds offset signage costs?

Jordan asks can we also include a sign with an explination?

Erika A asks about current parking fees.

Brian H. recognizes that the lot doesn’t fill.

Group recalls lot almost never full.

Anna recalls need for enforcement and training.

Allan asks, how does enforcement know who has paid the extra fee?

Group recalls they know because they check.

Allan recognizes a branding opportunity, a decal on the car.

Anna reiterates need to partner with enforcement.

Erika B asks about group opinion on where signage costs come from.

Jordan recalls conference, preference not to charge, as with laptops.

Allan recalls different preferences, including unfair transportation costs if BSU pays for charging but not bus fare or gasoline.

Brian H recognizes low cost of the current ask.

Group discussion: maybe we should be silent on costs and focus on need for a sign.

Thomas asks about charging station fees at gas stations or in the public.

Thomas predicts that as electric cars become more common then BSU will want to charge for charging.

Allan recognizes many locations offer a limited number of spots without charge as a perk of visiting.

Thomas recognizes that electric cars have very limit parking options. Current system causes competition and disincentive to purchase or use electric vehicles.

Allan recognizes that not everyone will need to charge.

Jordan redirects to the question of whether EAC would like to comment on costs.

Brian B recommends that discussion of costs for charging can be deferred and signage should be absorbed by facilities.

Anna recommends not bringing up costs.

Allan recognizes signage costs are very small.

Mention of costs is stricken.

Jordan will prepare a final draft for vote at next meeting.

1129 Renewing our Climate Action Plan

Jordan recalls McKnight Foundation grant – Letter of Intent to be submitted this evening (10/31)

1130 EAC Involvement in Campus Planning

Jordan reviews the commitment/responsible party/progress chart (Sustainability History To-Date)

Jordan reviews language of Working Draft EAC Memo to President Hensrud

What are our primary asks?

Jordan frames conversation, EAC often comments in reactionary position and would rather participate earlier in planning process.

Erika A asks about context.

Allan recalls institutional commitments and recognizes the opportunity for EAC to be helpful.

Allan recalls that P. Hensrud is asking EAC for recommendations on how to move forward with keeping campus commitments.

Allan recalls Bangsburg parking lot planning and Carl’s email regarding EAC participation in planning process.

Jordan reviews document. (list of commitments)

Erika A discusses more detail on definition on what we are required to do.

Group discussion on advisory role or participatory role.

Jordan asks does EAC have a seat at the planning table?

Anna poses EAC rep attending facilities meeting as an example.

Thomas poses review of plans, marks them up, makes recommendations.

Carl comments his preference for the mark up options because it participation might be overlooked but review gives access to make recommendations known.

Anna poses both have benefits.

Group discussion on benefits of participation at both stages: early, review.

Allan recommends EAC members should be on other committees and others should be at EAC meetings

Allan recognizes the need for semester/yearly plan and progress report to prioritize participation.

Anna recalls framework and recent activity and use as a starting point.

Jordan clarifies outcomes, next steps.

Allan identifies that an advocate for commitments is needed, but asks is EAC the right body to meet this need?

Jordan redirects to sustainability plan and metrics.

Allan poses focus on explaining role of EAC

Brian B poses listing:

What examples should we include of what has worked well?

What examples should we include of how prior processes could have been done differently?

Brian B asks should we use meeting time to list?

Allan mentions that a list would be usefull.

Jordan suggests a teams document we can fill in on our own time.

Joran asks for others input.

Jordan reflects that we are getting close to being able to draft recommendations.

Anna poses that classes could be designed to include a piece of EAC/Sustainability to do list.

Brian will publish a teams document for others to comment on. <start a “conversation”>

Jordan reviews teams documents.

Jordan will draft memo to P Hensrud for EAC review.

1200 Meeting Adjourns